De Beauvoir Primary School



Pupil Premium Strategy 2017-2018

| Academic year | 2017-2018 | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies. | | | | | | | |
| | Objective | Success criteria | Actions | | | | |
| Achievement of Pupils | To close the gap between PPG and other pupils with their peers nationally at both expected and greater depth standard. Continue to diminish the attainment gap between PPG and other pupils in school. To continue to provide early targeted language intervention for underperforming groups – including those in receipt of PPG. Analyse progress of Teacher Focus Group (those pupils in receipt of PPG) half termly. | Pupils make at least expected year on year progress and meet / exceed national year group expectations in all subjects. Analysis of pupils taking part in early targeted intervention demonstrates progress above that expected and is accelerated from baseline. Analysis of data demonstrates a closing / narrowing of the gap with peers in school and nationally. | Both DHTs have a 50% teaching commitment. Pupil Premium to be overseen by DHT. Individual Pupil Tracker. Half termly Pupil Progress Analysis. | | | | |
| Quality of Teaching | To ensure teaching is at least good for those pupils in receipt of PPG. To ensure Learning Coaches are highly trained, understand and use effective strategies to support accelerated progress. | All teaching is at least good. Targeted intervention for underperforming groups embedded and impacting on quality of teaching. Targeted early intervention in reading, writing and maths. Monitoring, scrutiny and feedback systems are rigorous and robust. | DHTs delivering interventions. HT/DHT – coaching and mentoring staff. CPD plan (LC / CT). | | | | |
| Behaviour and Safety | Attendance, punctuality and behaviour of pupils in receipt of PPG is monitored and in-line with other pupils in school and nationally. | Attendance, punctuality and behaviour of pupils in receipt of PPG is in-line with other pupils in school and nationally. | DHT to monitor the attendance, punctuality and behaviour data half-termly and assess the impact of interventions. DHT to direct resources appropriately based on the outcomes using Individual Pupil Tracker. EWO time purchased to improve attendance & punctuality of PPG pupils. | | | | |

| Leadership and Management | Ensure high quality early intervention from experienced teaching staff. Analyse progress of pupils in receipt of PPG to determine and identify strategies / interventions to address any issues in performance/attainment. Identify pupils in receipt of PPG to all staff and track their progress and provision DHT to oversee PPG provision and impact, reporting to SLT, LAB (Local Advisory Board) and parents. Ensure LAB (Local Advisory Board) have full knowledge and awareness of spending, PPG provision and impact of actions. | DHT acts as advocate and is able to carefully track progress and impact of intervention strategies. The LAB (Local Advisory Board) are informed regularly of actions, rationale, cost and impact. DHT to collate information regarding progress and impact across a range of interventions and activities offered to PPG cohort. | Case studies and action research to support accelerated progress |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|

Pupils eligible for PPG: Breakdown of Key Interventions for Financial Year 2017-2018 - £247,420

Proposed Funding from September – March - £?

| Year Group (Number of PPG pupils) | Main barriers to educational achievement | Intervention and rationale | Cost | How impact will be measured | Evaluati | ons | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|---------|---|---|--|--|
| Year 6 42 pupils (72%) | Attainment gaps in RWM EAL (79%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities | Additional intervention teacher in Y6: small group teaching during RWM / afternoon booster interventions | £48,000 | Weekly summit meetings Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring | attainme areas. Evidenc | EXS and Cent improvent improve | ed in all revious |
| | Attendance Low self-esteem | | | Learning Walks Assessment information | (42) R W M RWM | PPG Dat | EoY 71% 20% 85% 20% 71% 17% 61% 2% |
| Year 6 42 pupils (72%) | Attainment gaps in RWM EAL (79%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Attendance Low self-esteem | DHT after school intervention / booster sessions: fill attainment gaps / increase % of pupils achieving EXS in RWM | £2,000 | Weekly summit meetings Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | and Eas after sch Impact: attainme areas. | aching for Ster Booste acol booste EXS and Cent improve e: end of pend of year PPG Dat EoPY | r instead of ers. GDS ed in all revious data. |

| | | | | | R | 62% | 71% |
|-----------------|--|--|--------|----------------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | | | | | 10% | 20% |
| | | | | | W | 55% | 85% |
| | | | | | M | 0% 60% | 20% 71% |
| | | | | | IVI | 10% | 17% |
| | | | | | RWM | 48% | 61% |
| | | | | | | 0% | 2% |
| Year 6 | EAL | DHT after school booster intervention | £2,000 | Weekly summit meetings | | hing for S | • |
| 16 pupils (28%) | Attainment gaps | sessions: targetting pupils for GDS | | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | | | instead of |
| | Parental engagement | | | MET Reviews termly | | ool booster | |
| | Multiple vulnerabilities | | | Lesson observations | | XS and G | |
| | Attendance Low self-esteem | | | Work / Planning monitoring | | nt improved | d in all |
| | Low self-esteem | | | Learning Walks | areas. | | |
| | | | | Assessment information | | end of pr | |
| | | | | | year / end | d of year d | |
| | | | | | (42) | EoPY | EoY |
| | | | | | R | 62% | 71% |
| | | | | | | 10% | 20% |
| | | | | | W | 55% | 85% |
| | | | | | | 0% | 20% |
| | | | | | М | 60% | 71% |
| | | | | | RWM | 10% 48% | 17% 61% |
| | | | | | KVVIVI | 0% | 2% |
| Year 6 | EAL (86%) | DHT / SENCo / learning coach: | £4,000 | Weekly summit meetings | Small gro | up teachir | |
| 7 pupils (12%) | Attainment gaps | additional reading to boost progress | , | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | | WTS pupil | |
| 7 papilo (1270) | Parental engagement | for WTS pupils | | MET Reviews termly | - | rogress se | |
| | Multiple vulnerabilities | | | Lesson observations | | ores over | |
| | Attendance | | | Work / Planning monitoring | Evidence | : Year 6 su | ummative |
| | Low self-esteem | | | Learning Walks | assessme | ent tracking | g. |
| | | | | Assessment information | | | |
| Year 6 | Attainment gaps in RWM | RWM Easter school to target pupils for | £8,000 | Weekly summit meetings | Sessions | well atten | ded. |
| 42 pupils (72%) | EAL (79%) | EXS / GDS | | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | Impact: E | XS and G | DS |
| | Opportunities for reading at home | | | MET Reviews termly | attainmer | nt improved | d in all |
| | Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities | | | Lesson observations | areas. | | |
| | Attendance | | | Work / Planning monitoring | | end of pr | |
| | Low self-esteem | | | Learning Walks | year / end | d of year d | |
| | Low son esteem | | | Assessment information | (40) | PPG Data | |
| | | | | | (42) R | EoPY 62% | EoY 71% |
| | | | | | | 10% | 20% |
| | | | | | W | 55% | 85% |
| | | | | | | 0% | 20% |
| | | | | | М | 60% | 71% |
| | | | | | | 10% | 17% |
| | | | | | RWM | 48% | 61% |
| | | | | | | 0% | 2% |

| Year 6 42 pupils (72%) | Attainment gaps in RWM EAL (79%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Low self-esteem Attendance | Literacy and Maths Saturday school to target pupils for EXS / GDS | £6,000 | Weekly summit meetings Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | Impact: E attainmen areas. | well atten EXS and G Int improve E end of pr Id of year d PPG Data EoPY 62% 10% 55% 0% 60% 10% 48% 0% | DS d in all revious lata. |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--------|---|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Year 6 42 pupils (72%) | Attainment gaps in RWM EAL (79%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Low self-esteem Attendance Transition | Subsidy - Kench Hill Visit: improve self-esteem, social skills and readiness for transition to secondary school | £2,300 | Pupil voice Parent voice Behaviour tracking | pupils to work for s to celebra achievem Evidence | complete t secondary ate their | school and |
| Year 6 42 pupils (72%) | Attainment gaps in RWM EAL (79%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Low self-esteem Attendance | Nintendo DS Club: boosting maths skills / brain training | £2,000 | Weekly summit meetings Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | (42) R W M RWM | PPG Data EoPY 62% 10% 55% 0% 60% 10% 48% 0% | EoY 71% 20% 85% 20% 71% 17% 61% 2% |
| Year 5 25 pupils (69%) | Attainment gaps in RWM EAL (69%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Low self-esteem Attendance | Maths setting in Y5: additional teacher to accelerate progress / increase number of pupils at EXS | £4,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson Observation Book looks Learning Walk Planning scrutiny | | affing it wa | |
| Year 5 25 pupils (69%) | Attainment gaps in RWM EAL (69%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Low self-esteem Attendance | Subsidy - Ufton Court: increase life experiences, resilience and social skills | £1,500 | Increase in self-confidence and self-esteem Decrease in behaviour incidents | behaviou who atter Evidence | : positive f | t pupils |

| Year 5 | Attainment gaps in RWM | Year 5 Summer Booster School: target | £8,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | Year 5 Summer Booster was |
|-----------------|---|--|--------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 25 pupils (69%) | EAL (69%) | attainment gaps and accelerate | | MET Reviews termly | unable to go ahead but all |
| (00,0) | Opportunities for reading at home | progress | | Lesson observations | pupils received homework |
| | Parental engagement | | | Work / Planning monitoring | packs for the holidays and an |
| | Multiple vulnerabilities | | | Learning Walks | incentive to complete these. |
| | Low self-esteem | | | Assessment information | Impact: pupils completed work |
| | Attendance | | | | during the summer holiday. |
| | | | | | Evidence: completed packs |
| | | | | | returned. |
| Year 4 | Attainment gaps in Maths | DHT daily intervention: accelerated | £4,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | Impact: Ongoing S2S support |
| 27 pupils (60%) | EAL (58%) | progress in maths with more pupils | | MET Reviews termly | for Y4 teachers has helped to |
| | Opportunities for reading at home | reaching EXS / GDS | | Lesson observations | raise standards / improve |
| | Parental engagement | | | Work / Planning monitoring | outcomes. Teachers have |
| | Multiple vulnerabilities | | | Learning Walks | targeted PPG progress. |
| | Low self-esteem Attendance | | | Assessment information | |
| Year 4 | Attainment gaps in RWM | Raising Attainment Plan / daily | £4,000 | Raising Attainment Plan | Impact: Ongoing S2S support |
| 4 pupils (60%) | EAL (58%) | coaching / support / monitoring from | 24,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | for Y4 teachers helped to raise |
| 4 pupils (60%) | Opportunities for reading at home | DHT: accelerated progress in RWM, | | MET Reviews termly | standards / expectations. |
| | Parental engagement | with more pupils on track / on track for | | Lesson observations | Evidence: lesson |
| | Multiple vulnerabilities | GDS | | Work / Planning monitoring | observations. |
| | Low self-esteem | | | Learning Walks | obcorvations. |
| | Attendance | | | Assessment information | |
| Year 4 | Attainment gaps in RWM | Daily phonics interventions | £2,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | Impact: Phonics data shows |
| 2 pupils (5%) | EAL (100%) | | | MET Reviews termly | good progress, with improved |
| - p sp (5 / 5) | Opportunities for reading at home | | | Lesson observations | outcomes in reading and |
| | Parental engagement | | | Work / Planning monitoring | writing. |
| | Multiple vulnerabilities | | | Learning Walks | Evidence: phonics |
| | Attendance | | | Assessment information | assessments. |
| Year 3 | Attainment gaps in RWM | DHT daily intervention: accelerated | £4,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | Impact: S2S support in Y3 |
| 25 pupils (57%) | EAL (57%) | progress in RW with more pupils | | MET Reviews termly | focused on improving |
| (| Opportunities for reading at home | reaching EXS / GDS | | Lesson observations | outcomes. |
| | Parental engagement | | | Work / Planning monitoring | |
| | Multiple vulnerabilities | | | Learning Walks | |
| | Low self-esteem | | | Assessment information | |
| | Attendance | | | | |
| Year 3 | Attainment gaps in RWM | Raising attainment plan / daily | £4,000 | Raising Attainment Plan | Impact: S2S support in Y3 |
| 25 pupils (57%) | EAL (57%) | coaching / support / monitoring from | | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | focused on improving |
| | Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement | DHT: accelerated progress in RWM | | MET Reviews termly | outcomes. |
| | Multiple vulnerabilities | with more pupils reaching EXS / GDS | | Lesson observations | |
| | Low self-esteem | | | Work / Planning monitoring | |
| | Attendance | | | Learning Walks | |
| | Attoridation | | | Assessment information | |

| Year 3 | Attainment gaps in RWM | Daily phonics intervention | £2,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | Impact: Most pupils have |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--------|---|---|
| 1 pupil (2%) | EAL (100%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Attendance | | | MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | moved out of phonics groups and into main class teaching as a result of progress in phonics. Evidence: Phonics tracking. |
| Year 2 10 pupils (27%) | EAL Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Attendance Attainment gaps | DHT booster intervention with Y2 pupils: increase % of pupils achieving GDS in RWM. | £8,000 | Weekly summit meetings Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | Y2 teacher has been leading interventions. Impact: GDS data: Start of End of year year R 14% 14% W 0% 10% M 10% 20% |
| Year 2 21 pupils (57%) | Attainment gaps in RWM EAL (51%) SEND (19%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Attendance Low self-esteem | DHT booster intervention with Y2 pupils: increase % of pupils achieving EXS in RWM. | £8,000 | Weekly summit meetings Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | Y2 teacher has been leading interventions. Impact: PPG pupils have met the predictions for RWM. |
| Year 2 21 pupils (57%) | Attainment gaps in RWM EAL (51%) SEND (19%) Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Attendance Low self-esteem | After school boosters Twice a week per pupil | £4,000 | Weekly summit meetings Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | Without a DHT, this has been managed by teacher targeting / summit meetings. |
| Year 2 | EAL (51%) SEND (19%) Phonics gaps Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Attendance | Daily phonics interventions for pupils who did not pass PSC | £2,000 | Weekly summit meetings Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | Impact: 20% of the 5 retakes met the standard. The 3 children who did not are on the SEND register. |
| Year 1 20 pupils (54%) | EAL (57%) SEND (27%) Phonics gaps Opportunities for reading at home Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Attendance | Daily 1:1 phonics interventions | £4,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson Observation Work / Planning monitoring RWI assessment information | Impact: 80% of PPG pupils reached the expected standard. |

| Reception 13 pupils (48%) Reception | Baseline entry to EYFS well below typical Low on entry PSED Low on entry CLL EAL (44%) Baseline entry to EYFS well below | Daily Well-Comm intervention Additional LC in morning sessions to | £4,000 £13,500 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | Impact: Well-Comm data shows progress of pupils against specific targets. Evidence: Well-Comm tracking Impact: Pupils settled well into |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|---|--|
| 13 pupils (48%) | typical Low on entry PSED Low on entry CLL EAL (44%) | help with settling in | | MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | Reception. Evidence: Feedback from children, parents and staff. |
| Nursery 4 pupils (24%) | Baseline entry to EYFS well below typical Low on entry CLL EAL (76%) | Daily Well-Comm intervention | £8,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson observations Work / Planning monitoring Learning Walks Assessment information | Impact: improved S&L Evidence: Well-Comm data shows progress of pupils against specific targets. |
| Year N-6 | EAL Attainment Gaps Behavioural difficulties MLD | Whole school Readathon campaign to instil the love for reading. Book week After school reading club Assemblies linked to reading | £2,500 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Assessment information | Impact: Readathon 1 and 2 were popular and frequency of pupil reading increased. Evidence: reading records / Readathon sheets. |
| Year 1 – 6 As identified by need | Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Attendance Low self-esteem | Learning Mentor: 1:1s with vulnerable pupils and interventions, parental engagement improvement, behaviour plans. | £26,500 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Lesson Observation | Impact: improved behaviour. Evidence: Behaviour tracking shows reduced incidents. |
| Year N – 6 As identified by need | Parental engagement Economic disadvantage Attendance Low self-esteem | Uniform: to increase pupil self-esteem and reduce behaviour incidents | £1,320 | SLT Monitoring | Impact: Uniform has enabled pupils to be dressed appropriately for the weather and to prevent non-conformity. |
| Year N – 6 As identified by need | Parental engagement Multiple vulnerabilities Attendance Low self-esteem | Free breakfast club: to improve attendance, social skills and self-esteem | £3,200 | Improved attendance and punctuality | Impact: Successful where pupils arrive in time for Breakfast Club. |
| Year 1 – 6 As identified by need | Parental engagement Attendance Low self-esteem | EWO time to improve attendance & punctuality Pastoral Manager: monitoring / tracking of attendance and weekly meetings with parents of PA pupils | £4,300 £34,600 | Improved attendance and punctuality | Impact: Regular contact has improved parental accountability for attendance. Persistent absence of some pupils continues to be closely monitored. Evidence: attendance tracking. |
| Year 1 – 6 (21 pupils) | Speech and language communication difficulties | SALT interventions | £10,700 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly MET Reviews termly Behaviour tracking | Impact: SALT interventions show progress in specific targets for pupils. Evidence: SALT tracking. |

| Year 1 – 6 | MLD | Additional Educational Psychologist | £5,000 | Pupil Progress Meetings ½ termly | Impact: Additional time has |
|------------|-----|-------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| (7 pupils) | | support | | MET Reviews termly | enabled pupils to be seen |
| | | | | Behaviour tracking | more quickly than would |
| | | | | | otherwise be possible. This |
| | | | | | has helped to gain evidence to |
| | | | | | inform provision. |
| | | | | | Evidence: SEND folders |
| Total | | | 247,42 | | |
| | | | 0 | | |